ICC Docket No. 02-0864 Illinois Commerce Commission AT&T Communications of Illinois 24th Data Request Data Request No. LLS-1

3/15/04 50

Request:

Reference Dr. Aron's Direct Testimony at pages 8-10 and her Rebuttal Testimony at pages 25-27, which describe Dr. Aron's "top-down" and "bottom-up" approach at defining and removing retailing costs from ARMIS booked cost. According to Table 1 in her Direct Testimony, Dr. Aron relies upon 2001 ARMIS data when making the "top-down" calculation. According to Table 1 in her Rebuttal Testimony, Dr. Aron relies upon 2002 ARMIS data when making the "bottom-up" calculation.

Please provide all workpapers, documents and analysis used by Dr. Aron to develop Table 1 in her Rebuttal Testimony.

Did Dr. Aron calculate the 2001 "book cost, including capital cost" using her "bottoms up" method of removing retailing expenses? If so, please provide the results of that calculation and all supporting workpapers. If not, why not?

Did Dr. Aron calculate the 2002 book cost, including capital cost, using her "tops down" method of removing retailing expenses? If so, please provide the results of that calculation and all supporting workpapers. If not, why not?

Response:

The workpapers listed below, which are provided in the attached file:

"SBC Resp to AT&T LLS-1 Attachment.zip"

were used to develop Table 1:

- Explanation of ARMIS calcs (TRIIL) 2002 V2.xls
- ARMIS 2002 Computations Competitive line Update.xls
- UNE-P price update.xls
- Matrix0703all.pdf
- intro0703_000.pdf

The following file, provided in response to AT&T's 15th data request JG-11, was also used to develop Table 1:

• Status of UNE-P 11 08 02.pdf

Dr. Aron did not calculate the 2001 book cost using the bottom up approach, because at the time she was working with the 2001 data, she had not developed the bottom-up methodology.

Dr. Aron calculated the 2002 book cost using the top down approach. The results of that calculation are presented in Table 1A below.

		TABLE 1A O COSTS PER LOOP OR I R SBC ILLINOIS TA; TOP-DOWN APPRO		ONTH
		Source	UNE-L	UNE-P
	SBC Illinois		-	
1	Revenue (i.e., UNE price)	CCM November 2002	'	\$ 12.50 ⁽¹⁾
2	Book Cost (including capital cost)	ARMIS/LECG	\$ 15.75 ⁽²⁾	\$ 30.08(2)
3	Net	L1-L2	\$ (5.94)	\$ (17.58)
4	% Revenue Increase Required to Break Even	L2/L1-1	61%	141%

Sources & Notes:

The workpapers listed below, which are provided in the attached file: "SBC Resp to AT&T LLS-1 Attachment.zip"

were used to develop Table 1A:

- Explanation of ARMIS calcs (TRIIL) 2002.xls
- ARMIS 2002 Computations Competitive line Update.xls
- UNE-P price update.xls
- Matrix0703all.pdf
- intro0703 000.pdf

Witness Responsible: Dr. Debra Aron

Source is CCM November 2002, with an update by LECG using NRRI 2003 information. Includes non-recurring charges amortized over 36 months. See, CCM May 2002, p. 11.

Source is FCC ARMIS files 2002 (http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/armis/). Data are adjusted by LECG analysts to obtain total wholesale (UNE) expenses and investment. Key assumptions: Loop costs are reduced 17.8%; assumed depreciation rates are FCC approved depreciation rates; and assumed cost of capital is 12.19%. Costs include an adjustment of 3.72% for uncollectibles.

	Derivation of Tot	al ARMIS Costs P	er L	oop and Per l	Line	for Illinois	- BA	SE CASE		
Line	Description	ARMIS Line		UNE-L	. 8	witching	1 1	Fransport	Ļ	INE-P
	Total Expenses &									
	1 Depreciation	1190	\$	282,821	\$	63,267	\$	92,169		
	2 Other Non-Operating	1390	\$	(168)	\$	(57)	\$	(72)		
	3 Other Taxes	1420	\$	3,794	\$	1,122	\$	1,455		
	4 Marketing	1140	\$	13,478	\$	3,944	\$	5,278		
	5 Customer Service	1150	\$	7,143	\$	1,396	\$	1,823		
	6 Interstate Expenses	L1+L2+L3	\$	286,447	\$	64,332	\$	93,552		
	7 Return at 12 19%(1)	L20	\$	57,394	\$	21,748	5	26,326		
	8 Income Taxes	L7 x L32	S	34,736	\$	13,162	\$	15,933		
		L6+L7+L8-L4-								
	9 Total Interstate Costs	L5	\$	357,956	\$	93,902	\$	128,710		
1	0 Adjustment Factor (2)	(see note 2)		4		6.44403		3.22202		
ı	I Wholesale (UNE) Costs	L9 x L10	5	1,431,824	Ş	605,105	S	414,705		
1	2 ÷ # of Lines ⁽³⁾	L39		6,831		6,498		6,498		
1	3 UNE Cost/Line	L11 ÷ L12	5	209,6208	S	93.1182	S	63.8179		
1	4 ÷ 12 months	Input		12		12		12		
- 1	5 UNE Cost/Line/Month	L13 ÷ 1.14	\$	17.47	\$	7.76	\$	5.32	s	30.5
ı	6 Uncollectible Factor	L48		3.72%		3.72%		3.72%		3 72
	Total Costs w/									
- 1	7 Uncollectible	L15 x 1.16	\$	18.12	\$	8.05	\$	5.52	\$	31.6

Date to rounding and linking within the original spreadsheet, the (simplified) numbers here are not precisely correct as one progresses through the steps. Rather, each line is taken directly from the original spreadsheet.

(i) 12,19% overall return per Avera testimony, (ii) 25.9% of Common Line is allocated to interstate. For switching and transport, the ratio is Total Dem Mon / Interstate Dem Mon. See also derivation of DEM allocators in lines below.

(ii) ARMIS data is reported in thousands. This adjustment recovers while dollars.

	Return on Investment Comp	utation								
18	Average Net Investment	1910	S	470,828	\$	178,405	\$	215,962	_	
19	Rate of Return	Input		12.19%	L	12 19%		12,19%	+	
20	·	L18 x L19	\$	57,394	\$	21,748	S	26,326	1	
	Derivation of Allocators									
	Total DEM MOU	1216	<u>.</u>			152,730		152,730	ļ	
	Interstate DEM MOU	1216	L.		ļ	23,701	<u> </u>	23,701		
23	Total DEM/Interstate	L21 ÷ L22			_	6.4440		6.4440	ļ	
	Adjustment	1/2 for transport				1.000		0.500		
	Allocation Factor	L23 x L24			L	6,4440		3.2220	<u> </u>	
26	Derivation of Tax Rate				_		_			
l		Feder Of Tax								
	State Income Tax Rate	Adminstrators	ļ	7,30%						
28	Federal Income Taxe Rate	Input		35.00%			_			
		L274 L28 x (1-		22.5						
	Combined Rate	1.27)		39.75%					 	
	Interest Rate	Input	_	7.18%			_		├-	
- 51	Debt Ratio	fπput		I4%					╁	
		41.70 - (1.1.70)								
		(L29 ÷ (1-L29)) x (1-L31 x							1	
27	Tax % of return	L30÷L19)		60.52%						
	Derivation of Average Lines			00,0276	_		_		J	
	December 2001 ARMIS			•		····			1	
33	Lines	2150		6,295,125		6,295,125		6,295.125		
	December 2002 ARMIS						_		1	
34	Lines	2150		5,649,070		5,649.070		5.649.070]	
35	Average of ARMIS Lines	(L33 + L34) + 2		5,972.098		5,972.098		5.972.098	İ	
		FCC's								
36	June, 2002 Resale Lines	Spreadsheet		204.521		204,521		204.521		
		FCC's							Ī —	
37	June, 2002 UNE-L Lines	Spreadsheet		332,293		-		-		
		FCC's								
38	June, 2002 UNE-P Lines	Spreadsheet		423,890		423,890		423.890		
		L35 + (L36 ÷ 2)								
	Average Lines	- 1.37 + J.38		6,831		6,498		6,498	L	
	Uncollectible Revenues	1060	S	10,243	5	3,034	\$	3,929	<u> </u>	
	Total Revenues	1090	ŝ	302,865	5	75,442	\$	63,032	<u> </u>	
	Adjustment Factor	L10		4.00000		6.44403		3.22202		
	Total Uncollectibles	1.40 x 1.42	\$	40,972	\$	19,551	\$	12,659	_	
}	Total Revenues	L41 x L42	\$	1,211,460	\$	486,151	S	203,090		
	Lines	L39	\$	6,831	\$	6,498	\$	6,498		10.5
	Uncollectible per Line	L43 ÷ L45	\$	6.00	\$	3.01	\$	1.95	3	10.96
	Revenue per Line	1,44 - 1,45	\$	177.36	\$	74 81	\$	31.25	\$_	283.42
48	Percentage Uncollectable	L46 - L47								3.72%

	Derivation	of Total ARMIS	BAS	E CASE w/o	Who	olesale Adju	ıstn	nent		
Line	Description	ARMIS Line		UNE-L	S	Switching		Transport	Π	UNE-P
	Total Expenses &			. 						
	1 Depreciation	1190	\$	282,821	\$	63,267	\$	92,169		
	Other Non-Operating	1390	\$	(168)	\$	(57)	\$	(72)		
	Other Taxes	1420	\$	3,794	\$	1,122	\$	1,455		
	Interstate Expenses	L1+L2+L3	\$	286,447	\$	64,332	\$	93,552		<u></u>
:	5 Return at 12.19% ⁽¹⁾	L20	\$	57,394	\$	21,748	\$	26,326		
(Income Taxes	L5 x L32	\$	34,736	\$	13,162	\$	15,933		
,	7 Total Interstate Costs	L4+L5+L6	\$	378,577	\$	99,242	\$	135,811		
8	Adjustment Factor ⁽²⁾	(see note 2)		. 4		6.44403		3.22202		
(Total Costs	L7 x L8	\$	1,514,308	\$	639,516	\$	437,584		
](x Retail Adjustment ⁽³⁾	(see note 3)		100.00%		n/a	•	n/a		
10	Wholesale (UNE) Costs	L9 x L10	\$	1,514,308	\$	639,516	\$	437,584		
12	2 ÷ # of Lines ⁽⁴⁾	L38		6,831		6,498		6,498		
13	UNE Cost/Line	L11 ÷ L12	\$	221.6966	\$	98.4137	\$	67.3388		
14	÷ 12 months	Input		12		12		12		
1.5	UNE Cost/Line/Month	L13 ÷ L14	\$	18.47	\$	8.20	\$	5.61	\$	32.29
16	Uncollectible Factor	L47		3.72%		3.72%		3.72%	- "	3.72%
	Total Costs w/									
17	Uncollectible	L15 x L16	\$	19.16	\$	8.51	\$	5.82	\$	33.49

Notes

⁽⁴⁾ ARMIS data is reported in thousands. This adjustment recovers whole dollars.

	Return on Investment Comp	utation	 			 	
18	Average Net Investment	1910	\$ 470,828	\$	178,405	\$ 215,962	
19	Rate of Return	Input	12.19%		12.19%	12.19%	
20	Return	L18 x L19	\$ 57,394	\$	21,748	\$ 26,326	
	Derivation of Allocators					 -	
21	Total DEM MOU	1216			152,730	152,730	
22	Interstate DEM MOU	1216		•	23,701	23,701	
23	Total DEM/Interstate	L21 ÷ L22			6.4440	6.4440	
24	Adjustment	1/2 for transport			1.000	 0.500	
25	Allocation Factor	L23 x L24			6.4440	3.2220	

⁽i) 12.19% overall return per Avera testimony.

^{(2) 25%} of Common Line is allocated to interstate. For switching and transport, the ratio is Total Dem Mou / Interstate Dem Mou. See also derivation of DEM allocators in lines below.

⁽³⁾ Reduction in costs to net out retail.

	Derivation of Tot	al ARMIS Costs P	er L	oop and Per	Line	for Illinois	- BA	ASE CASE	
Line	Description	ARMIS Line		UNE-L	5	Switching		Transport	UNE-P
	Total Expenses &		[
	1 Depreciation	1190	\$	282,821	\$	63,267	\$	92,169	
	2 Other Non-Operating	1390	\$	(168)	\$	(57)	\$	(72)	
	3 Other Taxes	1420	\$	3,794	\$	1,122	\$	1,455	
	4 Marketing	1140	\$	13,478	\$	3,944	\$	5,278	
	5 Customer Service	1150	\$	7,143	\$	1,396	\$	1,823	
	6 Interstate Expenses	L1+L2+L3	\$	286,447	\$	64,332	\$	93,552	
	7 Return at 11.25% ⁽¹⁾	L20	\$	52,968	\$	20,071	\$	24,296	
1	8 Income Taxes	L7 x L32	\$	31,817	\$	12,056	\$	14,594	
		L6+L7+L8-L4-							
	9 Total Interstate Costs	L5	\$	350,611	\$	91,118	\$	125,341	
10	0 Adjustment Factor ⁽²⁾	(see note 2)		4		6.44403		3.22202	
1	Wholesale (UNE) Costs	L9 x L10	\$	1,402,443	\$	587,170	\$	403,849	
12	2 ÷ # of Lines ⁽⁴⁾	L39		6,831		6,498		6,498	
13	3 UNE Cost/Line	L11 ÷ L12	\$	205.3195	\$	90.3583	\$	62.1474	
14	4 ÷ 12 months	Input		12		12		12	
1:	5 UNE Cost/Line/Month	L13 ÷ L14	\$	17.11	\$	7.53	\$	5.18	\$ 29.8
10	6 Uncollectible Factor	L48		3.72%		3.72%		3.72%	3.72
	Total Costs w/								 , <u>.</u>
10	7 Uncollectible	L15 x L16	\$	17.75	\$	7.81	\$	5.37	\$ 30.9

Notes:

⁽³⁾ ARMIS data is reported in thousands. This adjustment recovers whole dollars.

	Return on Investment Comp	utation				
18	Average Net Investment	1910	\$ 470,828	\$ 178,405	\$ 215,962	
19	Rate of Return	Input	11.25%	11.25%	11.25%	
20	Return	L18 x L19	\$ 52,968	\$ 20,071	\$ 24,296	
	Derivation of Allocators			·		
21	Total DEM MOU	1216		152,730	 152,730	
22	Interstate DEM MOU	1216		23,701	 23,701	
23	Total DEM/Interstate	L21 ÷ L22		6.4440	6.4440	
24	Adjustment	1/2 for transport	-	1.000	0.500	
25	Allocation Factor	L23 x L24		6.4440	 3.2220	

^{(1) 11,25%} per FCC.

^{(2) 25%} of Common Line is allocated to interstate. For switching and transport, the ratio is Total Dem Mou / Interstate Dem Mou. See also derivation of DEM allocators in lines below.

	ARMIS Line	UNE-L	S	witching	Γ	ransport	UN	E-P
1 Marketing	1140	\$ 13,478	\$	3,944	\$	5.278		···
2 Customer Care	1150	\$ 7,143	\$	1,396	\$	1,823		
3 Total		\$ 20,621	\$	5,340	\$	7,101		
4 Total Interstate Costs	L1+L2	\$ 20,621	\$	5,340	\$	7,101		
5 Adjustment Factor ⁽²⁾	(see note 2)	4		6.44403		3.22202		
6 Total Costs	L4 x L5	\$ 82,484	\$	34,411	\$	22,880		
7 x Retail Adjustment	None	100.00%		n/a		n/a		
8 Wholesale (UNE) Costs	L6 x L7	\$ 82,484	\$	34.411	\$	22,880		
9 ÷ # of Lines ⁽³⁾	L24	6,831		6,498		6,498		
10 Marketing & CC Cost/Line	L8 ÷ L9	\$ 12.0758	\$	5.2954	\$	3.5209	•	
11 ÷ 12 months	Input	12		12		12		
12 Marketing & CC Cost/Line/Month	L10 ÷ L11	\$ 1.01	\$	0.44	\$	0.29	\$	1

	Derivation of Allocators				
13	Total DEM MOU	1216		152,730	152,730
14	Interstate DEM MOU	1216		23,701	23.701
15	Total DEM/Interstate	L13 ÷ L14		6.4440	6.4440
16	Adjustment	1/2 for transport		1.000	0.500
17	Allocation Factor	L15 x L16		6.4440	3.2220
	Derivation of Average Lines			•	
18	December 2001 ARMIS Lines	2150	6,295.125	6,295.125	6,295.125
19	December 2002 ARMIS Lines	2150	5,649.070	5,649.070	5,649.070
20	Average of ARMIS Lines	(L18 + L19) ÷ 2	5,972.098	5,972.098	5.972.098
21	June, 2002 Resale Lines	FCC's Spreadsheet	204.521	204.521	204.521
22	June, 2002 UNE-L Lines	FCC's Spreadsheet	332.293	-	•
23	June, 2002 UNE-P Lines	FCC's Spreadsheet	423.890	423.890	423.890

				1		T			Notes:	
80.08	\$ 7	28.8	\$	12.8	\$	SL'SI	\$	risx rie	Uncollectible	
									Total Costs w/	
%7 <i>L</i> .£	1%	3.72		%ZL.E		%27.E		Γ√t8	Uncollectible Factor	91
29.00	\$ I	19.2	\$	02.8	\$	61.21	\$	Γ13 ÷ Γ1 ¢	UME Cost/Line/Month	SI
	7	ZI		15		12		ınduı	sdrnom 21 ÷	tι
	8	3855.73	\$	7514.86	\$	182.2346	\$	ΓΙΙ ÷ ΓΙ 7	UME Cost/Line	٤١
·····	8	367'9		867'9		158'9		F36	÷ # of Lines ⁽⁴⁾	71
	t	785°LE17	\$	915'689	\$	192'777'1	\$	F6×F10	Wholesale (UNE) Costs	i I
		e/u		e/u		%07.28		(see note 3)	x Retail Adjustment ⁽³⁾	10
	t	⁷ 85'LEÞ	\$	915,658	\$	805,412,1	\$	8.1 x 7.1	Total Costs	6
	70	3.2220		£0444.3		t		(see note 2)	Adjustment Factor ⁽²⁾	8
	ı	18,251	\$	7⊅7'66	\$	LLS'8LE	\$	Γ4+Γ2+Γ6	Total Interstate Costs	L
	٤	£6'\$1	\$	13,162	\$	9£L'Þ£	\$	F2 × F35	Іпсоте Тахея	9
	9	76,32	\$	847,12	\$	₹6€°LS	\$	F50	Return at 12.19% ⁽¹⁾	ς
	7	:\$\$ ['] £6	\$	755,43	\$	Ltt'987	\$	Γ1+Γ5+Γ3	Interstate Expenses	t
	ς	1,45	\$	1,122	\$	76L'E	\$	1450	Other Taxes	ε
	(7	(7)	\$	(TE)	\$	(891)	\$	1390	Other Non-Operating	7
	6	91,26	\$	L97,E9	\$	128,282	\$	1160	Depreciation	[
									Total Expenses &	
NNE-b		Transport	L	guidaiw	S	NNE-T		ARMIS Line	Description	ənic
	3	ZE CYZI	<u> √8 -</u>	tor Illinois	əuic	oop and Per I	r re	I ARMIS Costs Po	Berivation of Tota	

 $^{^{(3)}}$ Reduction in costs to net out retail. This adjustment recovers whole dollars. ARMIS data is reported in thousands. This adjustment recovers whole dollars.

		 	 T	 r	T	
	3.2220	077779		F53 × F5¢	Allocation Factor	52
	002.0	000.1		1/2 for transport	tn9mtsu[bA	77
	07779	04440		ר51 ÷ ר55	Total DEM/Interstate	23
•	107,52	107,52		 1716	Interstate DEM MOU	77
	057,221	152,730		1716	Total DEM MOU	17
			· · · · · ·		Derivation of Allocators	
	976,326	\$ 8t/17	\$ 76E,72	\$ 617×817	Return	50
	15.19%	15.19%	 %61.21	3nduI	muts A fo etam	61
	796'\$17	\$ \$04,871	\$ 828,074	\$ 0161	Average Net Investment	81
				นอเเธเ	Keturn on investment Compu	

^{(1) 12.19%} overall return per Avera testimony.
(2) 25% of Common Line is allocated to interstate. For switching and transport, the ratio is Total Dem Mou / Interstate Dem Mou. See also

derivation of DEM allocators in lines below.

	Derivation	of Total ARMIS	BAS	E CASE w/o	Who	olesale Adju	istm	ent	
Line	Description	ARMIS Line		UNE-L	9	Switching	ſ	Transport	UNE-P
	Total Expenses & Depreciation	1190	\$	282,821	\$	63,267	\$	92,169	
	2 Other Non-Operating	1390	\$	(168)	\$	(57)	S	(72)	
	3 Other Taxes	1420	\$	3,794	\$	1,122	\$	1,455	
	4 Interstate Expenses	L1+L2+L3	\$	286,447	\$	64,332	\$	93,552	
	5 Return at 12.19% ⁽¹⁾	L20	\$	57,394	\$	21,748	\$	26,326	
	6 Income Taxes	L5 x L32	\$	34,736	\$	13,162	\$	15,933	
	7 Total Interstate Costs	L4+L5+L6	\$	378,577	\$	99,242	\$	135,811	
	8 Adjustment Factor ⁽²⁾	(see note 2)		4		6.44403		3.22202	
	9 Total Costs	L7 x L8	\$	1,514,308	\$	639,516	\$	437,584	
	0 x Retail Adjustment ⁽³⁾	(see note 3)		100.00%		n/a		n/a	
1	Wholesale (UNE) Costs	L9 x L10	\$	1,514,308	\$	639,516	\$	437,584	
1:	2 ÷ # of Lines ⁽⁴⁾	L38		6,831		6,498		6,498	
1	3 UNE Cost/Line	L11 ÷ L12	\$	221.6966	\$	98.4137	\$	67.3388	
14	4 ÷ 12 months	Input		12		12		12	
1:	UNE Cost/Line/Month	L13 ÷ L14	\$	18.47	\$	8.20	\$	5.61	\$ 32.29
10	Uncollectible Factor	L47		3.72%		3.72%		3.72%	3.729
1	Total Costs w/ Uncollectible	L15 x L16	\$	19.16	\$	8.51	\$	5.82	\$ 33.49

Notes

⁽⁴⁾ ARMIS data is reported in thousands. This adjustment recovers whole dollars.

	Return on Investment Comp	utation				
18	Average Net Investment	1910	\$	470,828	\$ 178,405	\$ 215,962
19	Rate of Return	Input		12.19%	12.19%	12.19%
20	Return	L18 x L19	\$	57,394	\$ 21,748	\$ 26,326
	Derivation of Allocators					· <u>-</u>
21	Total DEM MOU	1216	N.		152,730	152,730
22	Interstate DEM MOU	1216			23,701	23,701
23	Total DEM/Interstate	L21 - L22			6.4440	6.4440
24	Adjustment	1/2 for transport			1.000	0.500
25	Allocation Factor	L23 x L24			 6.4440	 3.2220

^{(1) 12.19%} overall return per Avera testimony.

^{(2) 25%} of Common Line is allocated to interstate. For switching and transport, the ratio is Total Dem Mou / Interstate Dem Mou. See also derivation of DEM allocators in lines below.

⁽³⁾ Reduction in costs to net out retail.

	Derivation of Total	al ARMIS Costs P	er L	oop and Per	Line	for Illinois	- B	ASE CASE		,,,,
Line	Description	ARMIS Line		UNE-L		Switching		Transport		UNE-P
	Total Expenses &							· . <u></u>	ļ	
	1 Depreciation	1190	\$	282,821	\$	63,267	\$	92,169		
	Other Non-Operating	1390	\$	(168)	\$	(57)	\$	(72)		
	Other Taxes	1420	\$	3,794	\$	1,122	\$	1,455		
4	Interstate Expenses	L1+L2+L3	\$	286,447	S	64,332	\$	93,552		
	Return at 11.25% ⁽¹⁾	L20	\$	52,968	\$	20,071	\$	24,296		
(Income Taxes	L5 x L32	\$	31,817	\$	12,056	\$	14,594		
7	7 Total Interstate Costs	L4+L5+L6	\$	371,232	\$	96,458	\$	132,442	 	
{	Adjustment Factor ⁽²⁾	(see note 2)		4		6.44403		3.22202		
9	Total Costs	L7 x L8	S	1,484,927	\$	621,581	\$	426,729		.,
10	x Retail Adjustment ⁽³⁾	(see note 3)		82.20%		n/a		n/a		
11	Wholesale (UNE) Costs	L9 x L10	\$	1,220,610	\$	621,581	\$	426,729		
12	÷ # of Lines ⁽⁴⁾	L39		6,831	-	6,498		6,498		
13	UNE Cost/Line	L11 ÷ L12	\$	178.6989	\$	95.6537	\$	65.6683		
14	÷ 12 months	Input		12		12	•	12		
15	UNE Cost/Line/Month	L13 ÷ L14	\$	14.89	\$	7.97	\$	5.47	\$	28.3
16	Uncollectible Factor	L48		3.72%		3.72%		3.72%		3.729
17	Total Costs w/ Uncollectible	L15 x L16	\$		\$	8.27	\$	5.68	\$	29.39

Notes

⁽⁴⁾ ARMIS data is reported in thousands. This adjustment recovers whole dollars.

	Return on Investment Comp	utation			 	
18	Average Net Investment	1910	\$ 470,828	\$ 178,405	\$ 215,962	
19	Rate of Return	Input	11.25%	 11.25%	 11.25%	
20	Return	L18 x L19	\$ 52,968	\$ 20,071	\$ 24,296	
	Derivation of Allocators				 	
21	Total DEM MOU	1216		152,730	152,730	-
22.	Interstate DEM MOU	1216		23,701	 23,701	
23	Total DEM/Interstate	L21 ÷ L22		6.4440	 6.4440	
24	Adjustment	1/2 for transport		1.000	0.500	
25	Allocation Factor	L23 x L24		 6.4440	 3.2220	

^{(1) 11.25%} overall return per FCC.

^{(2) 25%} of Common Line is allocated to interstate. For switching and transport, the ratio is Total Dem Mou / Interstate Dem Mou. See also derivation of DEM allocators in lines below.

⁽³⁾ Reduction in costs to net out retail.